Interview Summary

Application No.

09/848,270

Examiner

Lyle A Alexander

Applicant(s)

FRYE ET AL.

Art Unit

1743

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT		1	
(1) <u>Lyle A Alexander</u> .	(3) <u>Mr. Makrogiannis</u> .	1	
(2) Mr. Grabow. TEG	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>20 October 2004</u> .			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: all.			
Identification of prior art discussed: all.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached.	g) was not reached. h) h	1/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Amendments were discussed to describe the invention as suitable for thermally control applications.</u> Such amendments appear to define over the art of record. Applicants further traversed the rejections of claims 40-43 under 35 USC 102. These rejections will be reconsidered in the next Office action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OFFICE A STATEMEN SUMMARY OF RECORD OF INTERVIEW REQUIREMENTS ON REVERSE	ne last Office action has already R THE MAILING DATE OF THI T OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	been filed, APP S INTERVIEW S	LICANT IS UMMARY

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required